

**Congress of the United States**  
**House of Representatives**  
Washington, DC 20515-0552

**525**

May 20, 2014

Chairman Tom Wheeler  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

I read your testimony yesterday before the House Energy and Commerce Committee with interest and wanted to share my views on one of the topics covered, net neutrality.

The Internet has given rise to the democratization of knowledge, has become the backbone of the modern economy, and has revolutionized how we live our daily lives. I am committed to ensuring the internet remains free, fair, and open. I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and support a strong FCC enforcing it.

Users should have access to the legal content of their choice – be it news, games, or movies – without fear of that content being discriminated against by their internet service provider. Similarly, internet service providers shouldn't block or slow down legal online content because that content may be provided by a competitor or an entity – a charitable organization or a start-up, for example – not paying for fast access or "express lanes." For users who want to make phone calls over the internet, they should be able to do that, too.

As you're soliciting public comments and considering how best to ensure enforcement of net neutrality, I urge you to consider solutions that protect user rights without creating an expensive, new, overly burdensome regulatory bureaucracy that stifles the very kind of innovation we're seeking to protect and that internet users deserve. Regulating the internet as a utility can't be the only option on the table. I share the desire of many of my colleagues who want to see the internet remain as open, innovative, and treasured as it has been.

I appreciate your taking my concerns into consideration and I'm encouraging my constituents to share their views, too, by participating in the public comment period. I look forward to continuing this dialogue with you as we address this important issue.

Sincerely,



Scott Peters  
Member of Congress



OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

June 17, 2014

The Honorable Scott Peters  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2410 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Representative Peters:

Thank you for writing to express your concerns regarding the need to reinstate rules to preserve an open Internet for all Americans. I share a sense of urgency expressed by many on this matter and moved with dispatch to initiate a proceeding to consider new open Internet rules to replace those that were vacated by the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals in the *Verizon* case. As you know, the *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* ("Notice") adopted by the Commission in May 2014 begins that process. Therein, we ask a number of questions about the rules we need to adopt, as well as the appropriate legal foundation for such rules. Your letter touches on some of the most important issues presented in the *Notice*, and I will ensure that it is included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The Commission has struggled for over a decade with how best to protect and promote an open Internet. While there has been bipartisan consensus, starting under the Bush Administration with Chairman Powell, on the importance of an open Internet to economic growth, investment, and innovation, we find ourselves today faced with the worst case scenario: we have no Open Internet rules in place to stop broadband providers from limiting Internet openness. The *status quo* is unacceptable. The Commission has already found, and the court has agreed, that broadband providers have economic incentives and technological tools to engage in behavior that can limit Internet openness and harm consumers and competition. As such, the Commission must craft meaningful rules to protect the open Internet, and it must do so promptly. I can assure you that I will utilize the best tools available to me to ensure the Commission adopts effective and resilient open Internet rules. Unless and until the Commission adopts new rules, broadband providers will be free to block, degrade, or otherwise disadvantage innovative services on the Internet without threat of sanction by the FCC.

With respect to the legal foundation of the rules, I believe that the Section 706 framework set forth by the court provides us with the tools we need to adopt and implement robust and enforceable Open Internet rules.

The Commission also is seriously considering the use of Title II of the Communications Act as a basis for legal authority. The *Notice* explains that both Section 706 and Title II are viable solutions to the authority issue, and seeks comment on the benefits of each approach, as well as the benefits of one approach over the other, to ensuring that the Internet remains an open platform for innovation and expression. Additionally, the *Notice* seeks comment on other



proposals suggesting the Commission could apply both Section 706 and Title II to component parts of broadband Internet access services and asks about the extent to which forbearance from certain provisions of the Act or our rules would be justified so that the regulatory treatment of broadband providers is appropriately balanced.

With respect to the substance of the rules, the proposals and questions in the *Notice* are designed to elicit a record that will give us a foundation to adopt strong, enforceable rules to protect the open Internet and prevent broadband providers from harming consumers or competition. I am especially sensitive to concerns about paid prioritization arrangements, and the potential such arrangements have for creating an Internet that is fast for a few, and slow for everyone else. Let me be crystal clear: there must only be one Internet. It must be fast, robust and open for everyone. The *Notice* addresses this issue head-on, even asking if paid prioritization should be banned outright. It also proposes clear rules of the road and aggressive enforcement to prevent unfair treatment of consumers, edge providers and innovators. Small companies and startups must be able to reach consumers with their innovative products and services, and they must be protected against harmful conduct by broadband providers.

The *Notice* includes a number of proposals designed to empower consumers and small businesses who may find themselves subject to harmful behavior by a broadband provider. For example, the Court of Appeals did uphold our existing transparency rule, and the *Notice* proposes to strengthen that rule to require that networks disclose *any* practices that could change a consumer's or a content provider's relationship with the network. The *Notice* proposes the creation of an ombudsperson to serve as a watchdog and advocate for start-ups, small businesses and consumers. And the *Notice* seeks comment on how to ensure that all parties, and especially small businesses and start-ups, have effective access to the Commission's dispute resolution and enforcement processes.

Again, I appreciate your deep interest in this matter and look forward to continued engagement with you as the proceeding moves forward.

Sincerely,



Tom Wheeler